

McGill/94-13  
hep-th/9402132

## GRADED CONTRACTIONS OF BILINEAR INVARIANT FORMS OF LIE ALGEBRAS

Marc de Montigny  
Department of Physics  
McGill University  
Montreal (Quebec)  
H3A 2T8, CANADA

**February 1994**

**ABSTRACT.** We introduce a new construction of bilinear invariant forms on Lie algebras, based on the method of graded contractions. The general method is described and the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -,  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -, and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ -contractions are found. The results can be applied to all Lie algebras and superalgebras (finite or infinite dimensional) which admit the chosen gradings. We consider some examples: contractions of the Killing form, toroidal contractions of  $su(3)$ , and we briefly discuss the limit to new WZW actions.

PACS numbers: 02.20.+b, 11.30.-j

Submitted to *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

Contractions of Lie algebras were introduced in physics forty years ago by Wigner and Inönü in order to get a formal way of passing from the Poincaré group to the Galilei group [1]. In general, contractions consist of the introduction of parameters in the basis of a Lie algebra such that, for some singular value of these parameters, we get a different (*i.e.* nonisomorphic) algebra. The interest of this method in physics stems from the fact that it relates different symmetry groups, from which the *contracted* group can be understood as an “approximation” of the “exact”, or noncontracted group. (A discussion of the concept of contraction and some generalizations of the Wigner-Inönü method are given in Ref. [2]).

A different approach has been developed recently, which is based on the preservation of some *grading* of the Lie algebra through the contraction procedure. The general method of so-called *graded contractions* is presented in Ref. [3]. The analogous theory for the representations (which contains the contractions of algebras as a particular case) and their Casimir operators is found in Refs [4] and [5], respectively.

In this paper we use the concept of graded contractions of Lie algebras to construct new symmetric bilinear invariant forms of a Lie algebra (in general, non-semisimple), starting from the known bilinear form of a noncontracted algebra. Even within a single Lie algebra, one can obtain different bilinear forms starting from one bilinear invariant form. As for the method of graded contractions of algebras, the present procedure is very general and can be applied to any Lie algebra or superalgebra, of finite or infinite dimension. Also the results are universal in the sense that given a grading group (which is abelian for our purpose), the problem is solved simultaneously for all Lie algebras of any type and dimension which admit the chosen grading. The construction is presented in the next section. In Section 3 we find the general bilinear invariant form obtained from preserving  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -,  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -, and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ -gradings. In Section 4 we present some examples: contractions of the Killing form, toroidal contractions of  $su(3)$  (or, more precisely, of its complexification  $A_2$ ), and the “deformation” of Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) actions (defined over some group manifold) into modified WZW actions, defined over a contracted group.

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD.

Consider a Lie algebra  $g$  (corresponding to a Lie group  $G$ ) defined over the field  $\mathbb{K}$  ( $= \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ). A symmetric bilinear invariant form  $\Omega$  on  $g$  is a mapping,

$$\Omega : g \times g \rightarrow \mathbb{K} , \quad (2.1)$$

which satisfies the symmetry property,

$$\Omega(X, Y) = \Omega(Y, X), \quad (2.2)$$

and is  $G$ -invariant,

$$\Omega(\vartheta X \vartheta^{-1}, \vartheta Y \vartheta^{-1}) = \Omega(X, Y), \quad (2.3)$$

with  $X, Y \in g$ , and  $\vartheta \in G$ .

In terms of the generator  $Z$  of  $\vartheta$  in the Lie algebra, (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\Omega(X, [Z, Y]) + \Omega(Y, [Z, X]) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Given a basis of generators  $\{X_1, \dots, X_{\dim[g]}\}$  of  $g$  such that  $[X_A, X_B] = f_{AB}^C X_C$ , the bilinear form is often written as a matrix  $\Omega_{AB} \equiv \Omega(X_A, X_B)$  which is symmetric (from (2.2)) and satisfies  $f_{AB}^D \Omega_{CD} + f_{AC}^D \Omega_{BD} = 0$  (from (2.4)).

Now let us recall some definitions and properties that we need from the theory of graded contractions of Lie algebras (more details are in Refs [3, 4]). A  $\Gamma$ -grading of a Lie algebra  $g$  consists of the decomposition

$$g = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Gamma} g_\mu, \quad (2.5)$$

into eigenspaces under the action of a set of automorphisms of finite order on  $g$  (for our purposes we can take  $\Gamma$  to be an abelian finite group). Each of these automorphisms provides  $g$  with a grading by a cyclic group having the same order. Thus the grading group  $\Gamma$  is the tensor product of all the cyclic groups associated with every automorphism:  $\Gamma = \bigotimes \mathbb{Z}_N$ .

The commutators in  $g$  inherit a grading structure from the automorphisms of finite order, that is, if  $X \in g_\mu$  and  $Y \in g_\nu$ , then

$$[X, Y] = Z, \quad (2.6)$$

with  $Z \in g_{\mu+\nu}$  as long as the commutator is not zero. (The addition  $\mu + \nu$  denotes the product of elements  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  in the grading group  $\Gamma$ .) This grading structure can be written symbolically,

$$0 \neq [g_\mu, g_\nu] \subseteq g_{\mu+\nu}, \quad \mu, \nu, \mu + \nu \in \Gamma. \quad (2.7)$$

A graded contraction  $g^\varepsilon$  of  $g$  is defined by modifying the commutators,

$$[g_\mu, g_\nu]_\varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon_{\mu, \nu} [g_\mu, g_\nu] \subseteq \varepsilon_{\mu, \nu} g_{\mu+\nu}, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{K}$ . We have kept the  $\varepsilon$ -parameter in the right-hand side of (2.8) in order to show that if  $\varepsilon = 0$ , then the commutator of an element of  $g_\mu$  with an element of  $g_\nu$  vanishes. From the definition (2.8) we see that the  $\varepsilon$  parameters are symmetric (*i.e.*  $\varepsilon_{\mu,\nu} = \varepsilon_{\nu,\mu}$ ), and by enforcing the Jacobi identities on the new commutators, one finds that the  $\varepsilon$ -parameters must satisfy the *contraction relations*,

$$\varepsilon_{\mu,\nu}\varepsilon_{\mu+\nu,\lambda} = \varepsilon_{\nu,\lambda}\varepsilon_{\nu+\lambda,\mu}, \quad (2.9)$$

for all the values of the indices. A solution  $\varepsilon$  of (2.9) defines a contraction, that is, a new Lie algebra.

Now we define the contractions of the bilinear invariant form  $\Omega$ . Using the same notation as in (2.7), we define the *contracted symmetric bilinear invariant form*  $\Omega^\gamma$  as

$$\Omega^\gamma(g_\mu, g_\nu) \equiv \gamma_{\mu,\nu}\Omega(g_\mu, g_\nu), \quad (2.10)$$

where  $g_\mu$  ( $g_\nu$ ) represents any element  $X \in g_\mu$  ( $\in g_\nu$ ).

From the properties of symmetry and invariance, and given a contraction matrix  $\varepsilon$  (*i.e.* a contracted algebra), we get the restrictions upon  $\gamma$ :

$$\gamma_{\mu,\nu} = \gamma_{\nu,\mu} \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}\gamma_{\lambda+\mu,\nu} = \varepsilon_{\lambda,\nu}\gamma_{\lambda+\nu,\mu}. \quad (2.12)$$

*Proof.*

The relation (2.11) follows from equations (2.2) and (2.10).

The condition (2.12) is obtained from substituting (2.10) into (2.4):

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^\gamma(g_\mu, [g_\lambda, g_\nu]_\varepsilon) + \Omega^\gamma(g_\nu, [g_\lambda, g_\mu]_\varepsilon) &= 0, \\ \Omega^\gamma(g_\mu, \varepsilon_{\lambda,\nu}[g_\lambda, g_\nu]) + \Omega^\gamma(g_\nu, \varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}[g_\lambda, g_\mu]) &= 0, \\ \varepsilon_{\lambda,\nu}\gamma_{\mu,\lambda+\nu}\Omega(g_\mu, [g_\lambda, g_\nu]) + \varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}\gamma_{\nu,\lambda+\mu}\Omega(g_\nu, [g_\lambda, g_\mu]) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By comparing the last line with the invariance condition relation,

$$\Omega(g_\mu, [g_\lambda, g_\nu]) + \Omega(g_\nu, [g_\lambda, g_\mu]) = 0,$$

before contraction, we see that one must have  $\varepsilon_{\lambda,\nu}\gamma_{\mu,\lambda+\nu} = \varepsilon_{\lambda,\mu}\gamma_{\nu,\lambda+\mu}$ . The form (2.12) is obtained by using the symmetry properties of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma$ . •

The solutions of (2.11, 12) provide new invariant bilinear forms, obtained by substituting the  $\gamma$ -parameters back into (2.10). As for the contractions

of algebras and representations, there is a trivial contracted bilinear form, for which all  $\gamma$ 's are equal to zero. However, the solution with all the  $\gamma$ 's equal to one is not trivial. As explained in Ref. [3], the equations in (2.9) which contains an undefined  $\varepsilon$  (when the corresponding commutator vanishes already in the noncontracted algebra) does not appear in the system of equations to be solved. The same occurs for the  $\gamma$ 's. If  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are such that  $\Omega(g_\mu, g_\nu) = 0$ , then the equations containing the corresponding  $\gamma_{\mu,\nu}$  must be removed from the system (2.12). If it does not happen, we say that this grading is *generic* regarding the invariant bilinear form. Note that definitions (2.8) and (2.10) allow one to consider also *deformations*, that is, processes after which some commutators or bilinear forms –initially zero– become non-trivial, but satisfy the grading property nevertheless. We shall not consider this type of process below.

Note also that the “composition”  $(\gamma_1 \bullet \gamma_2)_{\mu,\nu} \equiv (\gamma_1)_{\mu,\nu}(\gamma_2)_{\mu,\nu}$  (without summation over repeated indices) of solution matrices, which we have defined for the contractions of algebras in equations (2.8, 9) of Ref. [3], here does not yield a new solution in general. Thus, given two solutions of (2.12),  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ , their composition will not be a solution unless extremely particular conditions on the  $\varepsilon$ 's are satisfied. The same applies for the “normalization” of the  $\gamma$ 's, because it is tied up to the normalization of the  $\varepsilon$ 's. If we perform a change of basis  $g_\mu \rightarrow g'_\mu = a_\mu g_\mu$ , then the invariant bilinear form becomes  $\Omega^\gamma(g'_\mu, g'_\nu) = \frac{\gamma_{\mu,\nu}}{a_\mu a_\nu} \Omega(g_\mu, g_\nu)$ . Unless we consider very particular values of  $\gamma$ 's and  $\varepsilon$ 's it will not be possible to satisfy  $\frac{\gamma_{\mu,\nu}}{a_\mu a_\nu} = 1$  for all  $\gamma$ 's. Unlike the contractions of representations, in which case we can normalize the parameters  $\psi$  (see Ref. [4]) by changing the basis of the representation vector space independently of the basis of the algebra, here the normalizations of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma$  are interdependent.

### 3. $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -, $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -, AND $\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ -CONTRACTIONS.

In this section we find the contractions of the invariant bilinear forms, using the results of Ref. [3], for Lie algebras over the field of *complex* numbers. As in Ref. [3] we consider the contractions with gradings of *generic* type. We have not normalized the  $\gamma$ 's in order to display the possible zero parameters. (Note that the  $\varepsilon$ 's of the present paper are the  $\gamma$ 's in Ref. [3].)

#### 3.1. $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -contractions.

The grading group consists of two elements,  $\Gamma = \{0, 1\}$ , with the product (denoted additively):

$$0 + 0 = 0, \quad 0 + 1 = 1 + 0 = 1, \quad 1 + 1 = 0.$$

There are three independent  $\gamma$ -parameters:  $\gamma_{0,0}, \gamma_{0,1} (= \gamma_{1,0})$  and  $\gamma_{1,1}$ . We shall cast them into a matrix form:  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{0,0} & \gamma_{0,1} \\ \gamma_{0,1} & \gamma_{1,1} \end{pmatrix}$ , although it should not be taken formally as a matrix, since none of the properties of matrices – multiplication, inverse, determinant, etc. – are shared by the present objects. (Hereafter, we write only the upper diagonal of  $\gamma$  and  $\varepsilon$ , remembering that they are symmetric).

The condition (2.12) reads, for  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ,

$$\varepsilon_{0,0}\gamma_{0,1} = \varepsilon_{0,1}\gamma_{0,1}, \quad \varepsilon_{0,1}\gamma_{1,1} = \varepsilon_{1,1}\gamma_{0,0}. \quad (3.1)$$

For the trivial contraction  $\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{0,0} & \varepsilon_{0,1} \\ & \varepsilon_{1,1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (1)$ , the relations (3.1) become  $\gamma_{0,1} = \gamma_{0,1}$  and  $\gamma_{0,0} = \gamma_{1,1}$  (which imposes no further restriction upon  $\gamma_{0,1}$ ). For the other trivial contraction  $\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (0)$ , there are no restrictions on  $\gamma$ , which are thus completely free. If  $\varepsilon_{0,0} \neq \varepsilon_{0,1}$ , then  $\gamma_{0,1}$  must vanish. This happens for the Wigner-Inönü-like contraction matrix  $\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

In summary, we find the following contractions,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ & a \end{pmatrix}, \\ \varepsilon &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ & c \end{pmatrix}, \\ \varepsilon &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ & b \end{pmatrix}, \\ \varepsilon &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ & b \end{pmatrix}, \\ \varepsilon &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $a, b$  and  $c$  are arbitrary (possibly zero) complex numbers.

### 3.2. $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -contractions.

The  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -contractions ( $\mathbb{Z}_3$  consists of three elements 0, 1, 2 on which the product is the usual addition modulo 3) are determined by six parameters (cast into matrix form),

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{0,0} & \gamma_{0,1} & \gamma_{0,2} \\ & \gamma_{1,1} & \gamma_{1,2} \\ & & \gamma_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In terms of these parameters, the restrictions (2.12) are

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{0,0}\gamma_{0,1} &= \varepsilon_{0,1}\gamma_{0,1}, & \varepsilon_{1,1}\gamma_{2,2} &= \varepsilon_{1,2}\gamma_{0,1}, \\
\varepsilon_{0,0}\gamma_{0,2} &= \varepsilon_{0,2}\gamma_{0,2}, & \varepsilon_{0,2}\gamma_{1,2} &= \varepsilon_{1,2}\gamma_{0,0}, \\
\varepsilon_{0,1}\gamma_{1,2} &= \varepsilon_{0,2}\gamma_{1,2}, & \varepsilon_{0,2}\gamma_{2,2} &= \varepsilon_{2,2}\gamma_{0,1}, \\
\varepsilon_{0,1}\gamma_{1,1} &= \varepsilon_{1,1}\gamma_{0,2}, & \varepsilon_{1,2}\gamma_{0,2} &= \varepsilon_{2,2}\gamma_{1,1}, \\
\varepsilon_{0,1}\gamma_{1,2} &= \varepsilon_{1,2}\gamma_{0,0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

And the solutions are (with the  $\varepsilon$ 's given in the Section 4 of Ref. [3]),

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma(\varepsilon^I) &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ & c & 0 \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma(\varepsilon^{II}) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ & 0 & 0 \\ & & b \end{pmatrix}, \\
\gamma(\varepsilon^{III}) &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma(\varepsilon^{IV}) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ & b & c \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\gamma(\varepsilon^V) &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & b \\ & & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma(\varepsilon^{VI}) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ & b & c \\ & & d \end{pmatrix}, \\
\gamma(\varepsilon^{VII}) &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 \\ & & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma(\varepsilon^{VIII}) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b \\ & c & 0 \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\gamma(\varepsilon^{IX}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 \\ & b & c \\ & & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma(\varepsilon^X) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ & a & b \\ & & c \end{pmatrix}, \\
\gamma(\varepsilon^{XI}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & a & b \\ & & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma(\varepsilon^{XII}) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ & c & d \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\gamma(\varepsilon^{XIII}) &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b \\ & 0 & c \\ & & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma(\varepsilon = (1)) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ & c & a \\ & & b \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are arbitrary. The matrix  $\varepsilon = (1)$  has all its entries equal to 1 (it is not the identity matrix). Obviously, the  $\gamma$ 's corresponding to  $\varepsilon = (0)$  are free.

### 3.3. $\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ -contractions.

The grading group consists of four elements, that we name  $a = 00$ ,  $b = 01$ ,  $c = 10$  and  $d = 11$ , so that their product is  $a + k = k$ ,  $2k = a$  ( $k =$

$a, \dots, d$ ) and  $b + c = d, c + d = b, b + d = c$ . In the generic case (*i.e.* when all the  $\varepsilon$ 's are defined), the equations (2.12) are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{a,a}\gamma_{a,k} &= \varepsilon_{a,k}\gamma_{a,k}, & \varepsilon_{a,k}\gamma_{k,k} &= \varepsilon_{k,k}\gamma_{a,a}, \\
\varepsilon_{a,b}\gamma_{b,c} &= \varepsilon_{a,c}\gamma_{b,c} = \varepsilon_{b,c}\gamma_{a,d}, \\
\varepsilon_{a,b}\gamma_{b,d} &= \varepsilon_{a,d}\gamma_{b,d} = \varepsilon_{b,d}\gamma_{a,c}, \\
\varepsilon_{a,c}\gamma_{c,d} &= \varepsilon_{a,d}\gamma_{c,d} = \varepsilon_{c,d}\gamma_{a,b}, \\
\varepsilon_{b,c}\gamma_{d,d} &= \varepsilon_{b,d}\gamma_{c,c} = \varepsilon_{c,d}\gamma_{b,b}, \\
\varepsilon_{b,b}\gamma_{a,c} &= \varepsilon_{b,c}\gamma_{b,d}, & \varepsilon_{b,b}\gamma_{a,d} &= \varepsilon_{b,d}\gamma_{b,c}, \\
\varepsilon_{b,c}\gamma_{c,d} &= \varepsilon_{c,c}\gamma_{a,b}, & \varepsilon_{c,c}\gamma_{a,d} &= \varepsilon_{c,d}\gamma_{b,c}, \\
\varepsilon_{c,d}\gamma_{b,d} &= \varepsilon_{d,d}\gamma_{a,c}, & \varepsilon_{b,d}\gamma_{c,d} &= \varepsilon_{d,d}\gamma_{a,b},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where  $k = b, c, d$ . The  $\gamma$ -solutions (corresponding to the  $\varepsilon$ -solutions given in Ref. [3]) are in Tables I and II.

## 4. EXAMPLES.

### 4.1. Contractions of Killing form.

First, consider the algebra  $A_1$  (the complexification of  $sl(2)$ ), with elements  $\{X, Y, Z\}$  and commutation relations

$$[X, Y] = Z, [Y, Z] = X, [Z, X] = Y.$$

From these we find that the Killing form  $\Omega^{Killing}(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv Tr(ad(\cdot)ad(\cdot))$  is equal to

$$\Omega^{Killing} = diag(-2, -2, -2). \tag{4.1}$$

Now consider the  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading

$$L_b = \{X\}, L_c = \{Y\}, L_d = \{Z\}, \tag{4.2}$$

where we use the same notation for the grading group elements as in subsection 3.3. Following (2.8), the commutators are modified to

$$[X, Y]_\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{b,c}Z, [Y, Z]_\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{c,d}X, [Z, X]_\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{b,d}Y, \tag{4.3}$$

so that the Killing form that we get from the adjoint representation is

$$\Omega^{Killing, \varepsilon} = -2 \, diag(\varepsilon_{b,c}\varepsilon_{b,d}, \varepsilon_{b,c}\varepsilon_{c,d}, \varepsilon_{b,d}\varepsilon_{c,d}). \tag{4.4}$$

Now if we apply the definition (2.10) for the grading (4.2), the form (4.1) becomes

$$\Omega^{Killing, \gamma} = -2 \, diag(\gamma_{b,b}, \gamma_{c,c}, \gamma_{d,d}), \tag{4.5}$$



which is to be compared to (4.4). This is done by noting that the equations (2.12) which are relevant here (*i.e.* in which  $\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma$  do not contain  $a$  as an index) are

$$\varepsilon_{b,c}\gamma_{d,d} = \varepsilon_{c,d}\gamma_{b,b} = \varepsilon_{b,d}\gamma_{c,c}, \quad (4.6)$$

for which

$$\gamma_{b,b} = \varepsilon_{b,c}\varepsilon_{b,d}, \quad \gamma_{c,c} = \varepsilon_{b,c}\varepsilon_{c,d}, \quad \gamma_{d,d} = \varepsilon_{b,d}\varepsilon_{c,d} \quad (4.7)$$

is a possible solution. By comparing (4.5) to (4.4), we see that the form obtained by graded contractions of  $A_1$  (*i.e.* by the introduction of  $\varepsilon$ -parameters into the commutators) can be compatible with the  $\gamma$ -contracted form obtained by the present procedure, *i.e.* using (2.10).

A similar compatibility exists in any general Lie algebra  $g$  with basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_{\dim[g]}\}$  such that

$$[X_A, X_B] = f_{AB}^C X_C = ad(X_A)_{CB} X_C. \quad (4.8)$$

Suppose that  $X_A, X_B$  belong to the  $\Gamma$ -grading subspaces  $g_\mu, g_\nu$ , respectively, so that  $X_C \in g_{\mu+\nu}$ . Then the Killing form is

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{Killing}(X_{\mu A}, X_{\nu B}) &= Tr[ad(X_{\mu A})ad(X_{\nu B})], \\ &= \sum_{C,D} ad(X_{\mu A})_{(\mu+\sigma)C;\sigma D} ad(X_{\nu B})_{(\mu+\nu+\sigma)D;(\mu+\sigma)C}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

from which we see that  $\mu$  must be the  $\Gamma$ -inverse of  $\nu$ . (We have written the grading indices along with the algebra indices).

By introducing  $\varepsilon$ -parameters as in (2.8), this becomes

$$\Omega^{Killing,\varepsilon}(X_{\mu A}, X_{\nu B}) = \sum_{C,D} \varepsilon_{\mu,\sigma} \varepsilon_{\nu,\mu+\sigma} ad(X_{\mu A})_{(\mu+\sigma)C;\sigma D} ad(X_{\nu B})_{\sigma D;(\mu+\sigma)C}, \quad (4.10)$$

where the  $\varepsilon$ 's are relevant if the corresponding commutators are defined. One can compare (4.10) to the form obtained via our definition (2.10), *i.e.*

$$\Omega^{Killing,\gamma}(X_{\mu A}, X_{\nu B}) = \gamma_{\mu,\nu} \Omega^{Killing}(X_{\mu A}, X_{\nu B}), \quad (4.11)$$

where  $\mu + \nu = 0$  (the identity element in  $\Gamma$ ), if the algebra is be such that  $\varepsilon^2$  in (4.10) can be factored out. Then one can identify

$$\gamma_{\mu,\nu} = \sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon_{\mu,\sigma} \varepsilon_{\nu,\mu+\sigma}, \quad (4.12)$$

where the sum is over the  $\sigma$  indices such that the  $\varepsilon$ 's are defined, and with  $\mu + \nu = 0$ . In the particular case of  $A_1$ , (4.12) is just (4.7).

#### 4.2. Toroidal contractions of $A_2$ .

There are four different fine gradings of  $A_2$  (the complexification of  $su(3)$ ) [6–7], one of which is the *toroidal* (or *Cartan*) grading:

$$A_2 = \oplus_{\mu=-3}^3 g_{\mu},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \{e_{\alpha}\}, \quad g_{-1} = \{e_{-\alpha}\}, \\ g_2 &= \{e_{\beta}\}, \quad g_{-2} = \{e_{-\beta}\}, \\ g_3 &= \{e_{\alpha+\beta}\}, \quad g_{-3} = \{e_{-(\alpha+\beta)}\}, \\ g_0 &= \{h_{\alpha}, h_{\beta}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

for which the grading group is  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ .

Consider the following invariant bilinear form on  $A_2$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(a_{\delta}, a_{-\delta}) &= \Omega_{\delta}, \\ \Omega(h_{\alpha_i}, h_{\alpha_j}) &= \langle \alpha_i | \alpha_j \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where  $i, j = 1, 2$ ;  $\delta$  is any root of  $A_2$ , and all other elements of  $\Omega$  are zero. The only relevant  $\gamma$ -parameters are  $\gamma_{0,0}$  and  $\gamma_{\mu,-\mu}$ .

The grading (4.13) is such that the relevant  $\varepsilon$ -parameters are:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{1,2}, \quad \varepsilon_{1,-3}, \quad \varepsilon_{1,-1}, \quad \varepsilon_{-2,-1}, \\ \varepsilon_{2,-3}, \quad \varepsilon_{2,-2}, \quad \varepsilon_{3,-3}, \quad \varepsilon_{3,-2}, \\ \varepsilon_{3,-1}, \quad \varepsilon_{0,\mu} \quad (\mu = -3, \dots, 3). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.12), we get the following relations:

$$\varepsilon_{\mu,-\mu} \gamma_{0,0} = \varepsilon_{0,\mu} \gamma_{\mu,-\mu} = \varepsilon_{0,-\mu} \gamma_{\mu,-\mu}, \tag{4.15}$$

for  $\mu = 1, 2, 3$ . The possible  $\varepsilon$ -parameters have been found and the associated algebras identified in Ref. [8]. The  $\gamma$  solutions are to be substituted into

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{\gamma}(e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}) &= \gamma_{1,-1} \Omega_{\alpha}, \\ \Omega^{\gamma}(e_{\beta}, e_{-\beta}) &= \gamma_{2,-2} \Omega_{\beta}, \\ \Omega^{\gamma}(e_{\alpha+\beta}, e_{-(\alpha+\beta)}) &= \gamma_{3,-3} \Omega_{\alpha+\beta}, \\ \Omega^{\gamma}(h_{\alpha_i}, h_{\alpha_j}) &= \gamma_{0,0} \langle \alpha_i | \alpha_j \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

The method can be applied readily to Kac-Moody algebras. Some examples of graded contractions of Kac-Moody algebras are given in Ref. [3]. (The particular case of Wigner-Inönü contractions of Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras has been studied explicitly in Refs [9] and [10], respectively.)

### 4.3. Application to Wess-Zumino-Witten models.

In this subsection, we exploit the fact that, starting from a Lie algebra  $g$  with invariant bilinear form  $\Omega$ , one may define a WZW action on the corresponding group manifold [11]. Suppose that the group  $G$ , which corresponds to the Lie algebra  $g$ , is simply connected. Consider a three-manifold  $B$  with boundary  $\Sigma = \partial B$  and  $\vartheta$ , a map from  $\Sigma$  to  $G$ , extended to a map from  $B$  to  $G$ . The WZW action on a Riemann surface  $\Sigma$  is [11]

$$S_{WZW}(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} d^2y \Omega_{AB} A_a^A A^{Ba} + \frac{i}{12\pi} \int_B d^3y \epsilon_{abc} A^{Aa} A^{Bb} A^{Cc} \Omega_{CD} f_{AB}^D, \quad (4.17)$$

where  $a, b, c$  represent indices over  $\Sigma$  and  $B$ , and  $\vartheta^{-1} \partial_a \vartheta = A_a^A X_A$  (the  $X$ 's being the generators of the Lie algebra  $g$ ).

Now if we consider a  $\Gamma$ -grading of  $g$ , such that  $X_A \in g_{\mathcal{A}}, X_B \in g_{\mathcal{B}}$ , etc. ( $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \dots$  denote the grading labels of the subspace to which  $X_A, X_B, \dots$  belong, respectively) then we get a new WZW action:

$$S_{WZW}^{\varepsilon, \gamma}(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} d^2y \gamma_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}} \Omega_{AB} A_a^A A^{Ba} + \frac{i}{12\pi} \int_B d^3y \epsilon_{abc} A^{Aa} A^{Bb} A^{Cc} \gamma_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} \Omega_{CD} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}} f_{AB}^D. \quad (4.18)$$

The invariant bilinear form  $\Omega^{\gamma}$  must be non-degenerate, *i.e.* the inverse  $\Omega^{\gamma, AB}$  of  $\Omega_{AB}^{\gamma}$  must be defined such that  $\Omega^{\gamma, AB} \Omega_{BC}^{\gamma} = \delta_C^A$ . The construction of a WZW model based on a non-semisimple group has been discussed recently in Refs [12] and [13], for ungauged and gauged models, respectively.

From that point of view, we see that the contraction procedure may provide a new geometry (in particular, a new spacetime), by starting from the one associated to the noncontracted WZW model, and by “deforming” it compatibly with the deformation of the algebra. The concept of contraction has not been mentioned explicitly in Refs [12, 13], although the invariant bilinear form used therein was obtained from a contraction procedure [14]. To our knowledge, contraction methods have been used explicitly for the first time in Refs [15, 16]. The contraction used in [15] leaves the contracted algebras with the particular  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  structure,

$$\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & 1 & 0 \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which suggests a generalization along our point of view. A detailed discussion about this topic is postponed to a future publication.

The deformation of a geometry into another one, using the concept of contraction, has been studied in Ref. [17] (although, using a completely different approach). Group contractions, interpreted as quasi-catastrophical connections between different geometries, or topological fluctuations in spacetime, have been considered in Ref. [18], establishing a relation between different models of the universe.

### **Acknowledgement**

The author is indebted to Drs R. C. Myers and R. T. Sharp for reading the manuscript, and to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for financial support.

TABLE I.  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ -contractions of invariant bilinear forms.

The  $\gamma$ -solutions corresponding to the contractions of algebras given in Table 1 of Ref. [3], on which they must be superposed.

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ & a & d & c \\ & & a & b \\ & & & a \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ & 0 & d & 0 \\ & & a & b \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 & b \\ & c & b & d \\ & & 0 & a \\ & & & e \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b & 0 \\ & c & 0 & d \\ & & a & 0 \\ & & & e \end{pmatrix} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ & a & d & c \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ & 0 & d & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 & b \\ & c & b & d \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & e \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b & 0 \\ & c & 0 & d \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & e \end{pmatrix} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a & b \\ & 0 & b & a \\ & & c & d \\ & & & e \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a & b \\ & 0 & b & 0 \\ & & c & d \\ & & & e \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ & b & c & d \\ & & e & f \\ & & & g \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ & b & c & d \\ & & e & f \\ & & & g \end{pmatrix} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 \\ & a & 0 & 0 \\ & & c & d \\ & & & e \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & c & d \\ & & & e \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ & b & c & d \\ & & e & f \\ & & & g \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & b & c & d \\ & & e & f \\ & & & g \end{pmatrix}
 \end{array}$$

TABLE II.  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ -contractions of invariant bilinear forms.

The  $\gamma$ -solutions corresponding to the contractions of algebras given in Table 2 of Ref. [3], on which they must be superposed.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & d \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & b \\ & c & d & 0 \\ & & e & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & c \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & d & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & b & c & 0 \\ & & d & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & 0 \\ & c & d & b \\ & & e & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & c \\ & d & 0 & e \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & f \end{pmatrix} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & 0 \\ & c & d & 0 \\ & & e & a \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & 0 \\ & c & d & b \\ & & e & a \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b & c \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & d & e \\ & & & f \end{pmatrix} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & a & b & c \\ & & d & e \\ & & & f \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 & b \\ & c & 0 & d \\ & & 0 & a \\ & & & e \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & a & b & c \\ & & d & e \\ & & & f \end{pmatrix}
 \end{array}$$

last three columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b & c \\ & d & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & 0 \\ & d & e & 0 \\ & & f & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & b & 0 & c \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & d \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ & 0 & 0 & c \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & a & b & c \\ & & d & e \\ & & & f \end{pmatrix} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & b & c \\ & & & d \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & b \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & b \\ & c & d & 0 \\ & & e & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & a & b & c \\ & & d & e \\ & & & f \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a & b \\ & 0 & 0 & a \\ & & c & d \\ & & & e \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ & 0 & 0 & c \\ & & 0 & b \\ & & & a \end{pmatrix}
 \end{array}$$

## REFERENCES

1. E. İnönü and E. P. Wigner, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. US **39** (1953), 510–524.
2. R. Gilmore, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras and Some of Their Applications*, (Chap. 10), J. Wiley & Sons, (1974).
3. M. de Montigny and J. Patera, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **24** (1991), 525–547.
4. R. V. Moody and J. Patera, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **24** (1991), 2227–2257.
5. A. M. Bincer and J. Patera, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **26** (1993), 5621–5628.
6. J. Patera and H. Zassenhaus, Lin. Alg. Appl. **112** (1989), 87–159.
7. J. Patera, J. Math. Phys. **30** (1989), 2756–2762.
8. M. Couture, J. Patera, R. T. Sharp, and P. Winternitz, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991), 2310–2318.
9. P. Majumdar, J. Math. Phys. **34** (1993), 2059–2065.
10. S. Schrans, Class. Quant. Grav. **10** (1993), L173–L181.
11. E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. **92** (1984), 455–472.
12. C. R. Nappi and E. Witten, *A WZW model based on a non-semi-simple group*, IASSNS-HEP 93/61 (1993), hep-th/9310112.
13. K. Sfetsos, *Gauging a non-semi-simple WZW model*, THU 93/30, hep-th/9311010.
14. D. Cangemi and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992), 233–236; *D. Cangemi*, private communication.
15. D. I. Olive, E. Rabinovici, and A. Schwimmer, *A class of string backgrounds as a semiclassical limit of WZW models*, SWA 93-94/15, WIS 93/1-CS, RI 93/69 (1993), hep-th/9311081.
16. K. Sfetsos, *Exact string backgrounds from WZW models based on non-semi-simple group*, THU 93/31 (1993), hep-th/9311093; *Gauged WZW models and non-abelian duality*, THU 94/01 (1994), hep-th/9402031.
17. F. J. Herranz, M. de Montigny, M. A. del Olmo, and M. Santander, *Cayley-Klein algebras as graded contractions of  $SO(N+1)$* , to appear in: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.; hep-th/9312126 (1994).
18. R. Mignani, Lett. Nuov. Cim. **33** (1978), 349–352.